P.7. 191-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891-92

192 .

(d. a)

Later references.

File No. 189-

Serial Nos.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

In Part B for October 1892

SUBJECT.

Petition of Nanjegowda of Gundahalli Chennarayapatna Taluk, praying that his land S.No. 52, classed as Gomal may be assessed and made over to him —.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. 5940 B. 26. 10. 1892.

P.7 191-92

Declining to interfere with the Orders passed by the refusal of the Deputy Comm.r, regarding the grant of the land as the ground that it contains date trees.

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—14-6-30

Precis 1.

Appeal Petition of Ramji Sawala of Sumbaly Chemorga-patna Taluq — Ryotwar Pat Survey No. 52 which was classed as "Kharab" during the Survey Settlement may be ordered given for fasturage.

On 7th Augt 1880 the Petitioner Appellant petitioned the Collector of Chemorgapatna that Survey No. 52 having been in the Patta of his father Chenne Gowda and was in the enjoyment of the family, that during the Survey Settlement it was classed as "Kharab" & the Pat-holders were ordered to be sold yearly. That since then the appellant has been every year taking leave for the pasture on the sale, and reared the date trees standing on the land

Sur. No. 52 Assessment
× Extent 2-25 Kharab Pat-bandi to be sold every year. —
Assessment
Ryoti Extent 3 Kuduvu 0-14-0
taken up by Petitioner's father in 1866.

× Extent 2-25 Kharab Pat-bandi to be sold every year. —

Ryoti Extent 3 Kuduvu 0-14-0
taken up by Petitioner's father in 1866.

Assessment

he received Rs 600/-, and
the land assessed given
to the appellant for
pasture

The Amlodar referred
the case to the Mehsul 15.8.90
for report.

The Shantagar deposed 12.5.91
leaving out the facts
contained in the appellant's
petn.

The Villagers, recorded 14.5.91
a Mahazar to the effect
that the land in question
was in the Khatigi of
Chemni Gowda, that during
the Survey settlement
it was classed as
"Kharab" as it contained
date trees, that for the
same reason the pasturage was
also sold every year,
that there were instances
in which, similar lands
various Ordinances were
subsequently assessed to
grain out, that Villages
would the land in
question could remain
the

2.

be assessed and given
out by the pch for forg
purposes & that they
had no objection
Whatever.

14 · 5 · 91 Thereupon the Chairman
reported in the terms of
the above said Mahazar
& deposition, stating that
the land contained about
30 or 40 date trees &
about 30 or 40 seedlings & recommended
that it could be given
out to the applicants

15 · 5 · 91. The amildar submitted
to the Dy. commissioner
the above papers and
reported in the terms of
the Chairman's report and
stating that in the
(ಪಟ್ಟಿ) Physical Patrika of
the Survey Dept. there
was a remark that the
pasturage should be sold
every year and that
this was being carried
out and promised to
submit the statements
of particulars of the land
Should the Dy. Commr. also
think that it should be

given

Jeave not to be heard by appellant
for Instalment (in full arrangement)
The Agricultural Bank 27-7-91
for the Settlement.
The Auditor in Lab- 27-1-92
-matting the same further
reported that the Land
contained by deed Mr Clay formerly
that the Village and of Swindell had been given up
Practically cancelled
Cancelled, Cancelled
Cancelled and Allotted
on a lease for 21 yrs.
that the Cancellation
of part of the Land to the
appellant would interfere
with the future Zoddig
Avenue, that it would ^ and that the appellant and
therefore be advisable Clay should be informed.
to continue selling the
Instalment every year
as heretofore
The Agricultural Bank 24-3-92
directed the Auditor
to reject the appellants'
claim which was
accordingly done by an
Endorsement 9 11-4-92

The appellant appeared 2-5-92

Adm

Affidavit re abandonment

Title Office, Adrian

Plan & Nos. 93, 94, 96 & 98

Containing Licenses made to Rees have been

cancelled & given out to others for mining purposes.

4-6-92 The Dy. Commissioner was directed to submit Reports & a Sketch report on these points taken in Depts.

Rejected

Not found

96

14-6-92 The Dy. Comm. asked a report from the Surveyor

20-6-92 who submitted a memo setting forth the facts of the case.

23-6-92 The Dy. Comm. asked for a report & papers if any connected with the alleged grant of Nos. 93, 94, 96, & 98.

2-7-92 The Surveyor submitted the papers & stated that the granting these Nos. was before the Gold Duty Scheme came into force.

SMB
19-9-92

Memo

Petition Regd No 545 of 21st May 1892.

Appellant — Ramjay Sowda of Gundahally, Channarayapatna Taluk, Hassan Distd

Survey No 52, Extent 1 acre and 2 guntas of Gundahally village, Channarayapatna Taluk, was before the Survey settlement of 1886, in the Khata and enjoyment of Channa Sowda, the Applicants father. During the Survey settlement, it was classed as "Kharab" and the "Kudivani" ordered to be sold annually. The Appellants father and latterly the Appellant continued to purchase the Kudivani of the land at the annual sales held.

In August 1890 the

Sh following
Summons
may be issued. —

"M. Gowda
no reason to
interfere with the
order passed by
the Dy Comr."
DLR
18/10/92

subject to payment of full 9
assessment; without inter-
-fering with the date trees,

(i) these lands were granted
before the introduction of
the new Lady Scheme of
1892. (Please see file
92/10 of Govt Prop:
No: 1019-29 dated
Cir: 4
12th April 1892 -
put up.)

VL
19/9/92

the above order.

I The appellant contends that similar lands have been subsequently assessed and given out on full assessment for grazing purposes only. He mentions the grant of Survey Nos 93, 94, 96 & 42 to Gopala Gowda and others,

II and that his case also may be dealt with similarly.

The Deputy Commissioner refused the grant of the land on the ground that it contains date trees.

The Amildar explains that -

(I) No 42 was granted in 1889 and Nos 93, 94 & 96 in 1891 for grazing purposes only

Applicant applied to the Amildar that the lands might be assessed and granted to him for grazing purposes only, as in the case of other lands similarly granted.

In January 1892, the Amildar reported finally that the land containing 60 toddy trees and the toddy trees of the village as well as those of Sanehalli having been leased out for an annual rent of Rs 765, the grant of the land to Petitioner would affect the toddy revenue. The Deputy Commissioner thereupon refused to grant the lands. The order is dated 11-4-92.

The appeal is against

A.SNO30
92-93.

DATE 23-5-92

(1) Should be Correct

(2)

(3) Attested in time,

(4) Bullet Cl: 11 : Call for Prof: with report

1-11-92

13

No 58 (9) Endt covered

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 595
dated 21st March/92 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkoji Sonda
of Gundabelli, Chennapatna
Taluk, praying that his
land S. No 52 measured as
during S. Settlement, may be
assessed and given to him

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner for enquiry and Proceedings with a report on the facts of the case for the favourable consideration in the petition.

2. The petition should be returned.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 4-4-1892

Remove the stamp Rs 2 & 32 Sy 17-2-92

14

(General Serial No. 30.)

507.

1892. Pet 24

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kaspan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12th July 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & Proc.

General No. 252 of 1892
No. 5

In reply to No. 58 of 4th Augt

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on
the petition from Ranje-
gowda of Gundaiadi
Channegowda Patel
praying that his land
Survey No. 52 classed as
Gomal during Survey
Settlement may be
appealed and Gundaiadi
retained.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No. 5940 of P.F. 1891-92

26/7/92

Petn for Remy

No. 232/5

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District,

Dated, Hassan, 12th July 1892.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 53 of the 4th June 1892, forwarding for report a petition from Ranjé-gowda of Gundahalli, Channa-raya patna Taluk, praying that his Land Survey No. 52 classed as Garnal during Survey settlement may be assessed and made over to him, I have the honor to report that on the 7th instant I

1890, the petitioner presented a petition to the Amildar to the like effect

2 — During the enquiry made by the Amildar it was elicited that the land was in the enjoyment of his (Petitioner's) fathers before the Survey settlement. that at the Survey settlement it was declared as Gomal and the land containing 30 date trees has been included in the Gudahalli and Sanehalli "Vanams" farmed out for 765 Rs under the new Coddy arrangements.

3 — The Amildar reported in his Ittila No 411 Dated 13th July 1891 that if the land were to be given out to the petitioner the

the Toddy trees standing thereon would be seriously damaged and that the Toddy Vanam surrounding the land under reference would also be ruined. He was therefore of opinion that the land applied for should not be given to the applicant.

4 — The Amildar was thereupon directed to reject the petitioner's application and grant an endorsement to him (vide this office order No 997 Dated 24th March 1892).

5 — As regards the petitioner's statement that Survey numbers 93, 94, 96 and 4 8 Containing several toddy trees had been assessed and given out for grazing purposes, the Amildar reports

reports that they were so given before the new today scheme came into force.

6— It would appear from the list submitted by the Amildar that the sale of Kulbarni of the land in question for the year 1891—92 stood in his (Petitioners) name and for preceding years it was in the name of Ramu-gowda.—

7— The enclosures of your memo together with the entire Vernacular proceedings ended with Amildar's report No. 2 dated 2d. Jne 1892 are herewith submitted.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

[signature]
Asst. Commissioner

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Octr 1892.

Endorsement granted to Ranja Gowda of Gundadalli, Channa Krishna Gowda, Hassan Dist.
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 5940 dated 21st May 92.

Petitioner is informed that the Govt see no reason to interfere with the order issued by the Revenue.

2. The encl: is herewith returned.

25/10/92

26/10

5940.
Petn. 191–92

"By Order,"

Draft. Mark
26/10/92
for Chief Secretary.

Ch. to Govt. Hassan Dist,
with the papers received with
his Rc 2332 A/12.7.92.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.